

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

09/476,319

**Applicant(s)**

HASEBE ET AL.

**Examiner**

Gilberto Barrón Jr.

**Art Unit**

2132

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Gilberto Barrón Jr.(3) Yoshinori Kato.(2) Douglas H. Goldhush.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 January 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,11,24,27 and 119.

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
GILBERTO BARRÓN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed some amendments to overcome the outstanding rejections. Brief summary is to restore claims 1-16 to original issued form; distinguish "information" from "encrypted data"; and include functionality related to "generating decryption key". A draft proposal would be submitted prior to official response to finalize agreement. Examiner agreed the changes discussed would overcome the majority of rejections pending submission of draft or official response. .